

UNCENSORED

SPECIAL HEALTH ISSUE ... FREE!

Autumn 2008

SEE: www.uncensored.co.nz; healthfreedom.co.nz; and/or www.celestialessentials.co.nz

Your Health at Risk as Government **Again!** Tries to Ban Vitamins

Government Declares **War** on Natural Medicine

Current government health policies are creating a nation that is getting sicker and sicker. Why?

Whether it promotes vaccines – many of which are known to have harmful side-effects – or mercury amalgam dental fillings, this government is ignoring the evidence of harm to our health these things can cause.

Whose health are they looking after? Ours, or the pharmaceutical companies'?

It condones genetically engineered food, and promotes failed cancer treatments like chemotherapy and radiation (which may themselves be carcinogenic). It pushes toxic fluoride into our once pure drinking water, and allows poisonous sprays on the food we eat, ignoring the successes of organic agriculture.

If this weren't bad enough, the government is once again (!) trying to make it illegal to sell health-giving vitamins, minerals and herbal supplements.

This is because the multi-national pharmaceutical corporations have declared war on the NZ natural health industry – and the government

is enforcing their agenda.

In fact Medsafe*, the government agency supposedly there to ensure our safety, is threatening companies that make and/or distribute natural health products! Natural health practitioners such as naturopaths and herbalists have also been targeted.

In 2007, the Labour-led government attempted to ruin NZ's natural health industry by selling it out to Australia's notorious TGA. (The TGA "regulated" hundreds of nutritional and other natural health products out of the Australian market, greatly diminishing the people's health choices – and pushing up the prices of many remaining products.)

But thanks to thousands of New Zealanders who pressured their MPs of ALL PARTIES, the NZ government shelved their TGA-type "anti-vitamin" bill.

So what happened then? Medsafe hired a team of "enforcers" to silence the natural health industry, demanding website owners remove references to research, clinical trials and links to other websites that have the proof that natural products have therapeutic benefit. If this is permitted to stand up, no one will be permitted to "make claims" about any "therapeutic" product – even water (as it "cures" dehydration)! If Medsafe has its way it will become illegal even to tell people that orange juice will help their cold! They have already

threatened one practitioner with a huge fine simply for "making claims" about the efficacy of time tested remedies like lavender oil.

Medsafe maintains it is "protecting" the NZ consumer. But this is simply untrue. **Vitamins are safer than food, with no proven deaths from vitamins recorded in the last 20 years in NZ, according to the NZ Coroner.**

By contrast, conventional medicine is the 3rd leading cause of death in NZ with about 1400 deaths per year!

Medical fascism has arrived in New Zealand, and if it is allowed to continue we will surrender our cherished freedom to make our own health choices. If the government succeeds in destroying New Zealanders' right to use vitamins and other natural medicines, what will they do next? Will they try to make vaccination, antibiotics or chemotherapy compulsory? Will they make it illegal to grow or use culinary herbs that also have medicinal properties? Much of this happened in Australia; it could also happen here.

We stand at the precipice. Natural medicine has made tremendous strides in recent years, and in many cases has cured the incurable, where orthodox medicine has failed. It is now thanks to these successes that it is coming under such intense pressure, in New Zealand and around the world. (google: [Codex.](#))

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ...

DESTROY NATURAL MEDICINE IN NZ

What this means for you and your family:

● Your access to nutritional supplements of all kinds would become severely restricted and/or made prohibitively expensive. **In Australia where this has already happened, more than 600 products are no longer available. Naturopaths and health food stores are being forced to shut down.** The Australian people are not even permitted to import many natural products for personal use from other countries. (Queensland excepted.)

In NZ, nutritional medicine, traditional Chinese and Indian (ayurvedic) medicine, Chiropractors, sports nutrition people – and even Maori herbal medicine – are now under threat by a bureaucracy that is making up the law as it goes along.

● Medsafe now maintains that it is illegal for any natural health practitioner **to even refer you to the literature on nutritional supplements!** No recommendations, no websites, not even articles or books! Medsafe says that this means that they are “making a claim” and they want to forbid it, **even if these “claims” can be proved.**

● This is medical fascism. There is no other term for it. Herbal and Nutritional medicine often WORKS so WELL that it has taken away business from the pharmaceutical companies. Now these companies have apparently decided that they must be stopped at any cost.

Medsafe and Big Pharma don't want an educated public, questioning their doctor and using nutritional therapies to get well and stay well. They don't seem to want a healthy population, either, that doesn't need its drugs.

● Does this all sound familiar? The NZ Labour-led government tried to pass an “anti-vitamin” law in 2007 but unable to get sufficient support, they “shelved” it. After that, the bureaucracy – Medsafe – began harassing the natural health industry. Unless Medsafe are stopped as well, there will soon be no alternative health care available in New Zealand. We must all fight this, together.

CONTINUED FROM FRONT PAGE

Many doctors are now embracing natural medicine – a good sign to all but the pharmaceutical giants that view this development as an economic threat, and are using governments to quash it.

However, the battle involves much more than mere economics. For millions of people this is a matter of life or death, as they rely on natural medicine to maintain good health or treat illness.

More than 70% of all New Zealanders take nutritional supplements, and if these become unavailable thanks to the government's following the orders of the pharmaceutical giants, their – and perhaps your own – health will suffer as a result.

Now is the time to act. This is of the utmost seriousness.

* **Medsafe is the branch of the Ministry of Health charged with regulating medicines and medical devices. They are now trying to define anything of therapeutic value as a medicine”.**

There is a world-wide economic war being waged by the pharmaceutical industry against Traditional Medicine and Natural Health – and the NZ government is helping to wage it. Here is WHAT YOU CAN DO TO STOP THE AGGRESSION AGAINST NATURAL HEALTH:

- Write and/or ring the office of David Cunliffe, NZ Minister of Health. (Tel: 09 - 827-3062. Email: Ministerial.Office.of.Hon.David.Cunliffe@ministers.govt.nz) and let him know what you think about the Medsafe campaign of harassment. • Ring your local talkback show: RADIO LIVE 0800 723 465; ZB TALKBACK 0800 80 1080 RADIO WATEA (09) 257 0603
- Write to your local newspaper. Contact your local MP. This is an election year. You can help make this a political issue asap. See: www.healthfreedom.co.nz and/or www.uncensored.co.nz and/or www.celestialessentials.co.nz and/or <http://www.iahf.com/world/index.html> and/or <http://www.iahf.com/world/index.html>

● PLEASE DONATE TO THIS EFFORT ●

A donation to support this campaign is AN INVESTMENT in the future health of you, your family and generations to come. Please give generously to help us inform the country about what's happening!

- Donate at www.healthfreedom.co.nz
- Subscribe to **UNCENSORED** to help us get the word out!
- PLEASE DISTRIBUTE WIDELY COPIES OF THIS REPORT. (See back cover for details on bulk orders.)

Diet Soft Drinks Are **Poison**

What has Medsafe done about this? – Nothing.

Aspartame is a chemical widely used in diet soft drinks and other products as an artificial sweetener. Everyone who drinks (or eats) it assumes it is safe – otherwise it would not be allowed on the market, right?

Wrong.

Aspartame was shown to be a cancer-causing agent more than 30 years ago, and in fact was opposed by a majority of scientists at the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) – until Donald Rumsfeld, known today as the architect for the invasion of Iraq, pushed it through when he was part of the US Administration in the 1970s.

So what did the experts say back then, and what are they saying now?

1. Aspartame is a neurotoxin. It causes brain damage.

2. Aspartame degrades into methanol and formaldehyde. Both are deadly poisons.

3. Aspartame actually increases the craving for carbohydrates. Far from helping people lose weight, it helps people gain weight, and may be partly responsible for the epidemic of obesity we are seeing now in western countries.

4. Aspartame is highly addictive. It is very hard to get off it. It is like a drug.

5. Aspartame can cause rashes and convulsions. Its effects are cumulative. While you may not immediately experience health problems, over time you probably will.

6. Aspartame is implicated in Parkinson's Disease. (Remember the actor Michael Jay Fox? Addicted to Diet Pepsi, now he's almost completely disabled.)

7. There are 92 documented symptoms associated with Aspartame – from coma to death. That's right, people actually may die from aspartame poisoning.

8. Other symptoms may include headaches, dizziness, seizures, con-



fusion, visual impairment and joint pain, cramps, vomiting, memory loss, fatigue and weakness.

So, with what we now know about this harmful chemical, why is it still being sold in schools as a “healthy alternative” to sugar? Why does the NZ Ministry of Health still allow this poison to be put into our food supply? The answer, unfortunately, has to do with corporate profits which often take precedence over public health. Companies now largely dictate to government agencies, rather

than the other way round.

The issue is so important that we don't think we should wait for schools to ban this poison in years to come. Rather, we should take responsibility NOW for what we eat and drink, based on the facts – rather than simply accept what is readily available from the tuck shop or the vending machine.

Perhaps if we stop buying products made with aspartame, the message will get through to the schools as well as the suppliers.

Students (and others) need to understand that not everything being sold as food is good or wholesome, and that poisonous “additives” in diet drinks will have an effect on our health and our well-being – sooner or later.

Too many people are dying now from PREVENTABLE cancer, including brain tumours. Boycott diet soft drinks now. You will feel better for it. Check labels on everything you eat. (Aspartame is numbered 951 on the label.) Also look for the warning “contains phenylalanine” on the food/beverage labels. Foods with this warning may also contain aspartame.

A three year Italian study on Aspartame in rats revealed a connection between the artificial sweetener and leukaemia, lymphoma and malignant brain tumours. It conclusively proved that aspartame is a carcinogen, say the study's authors.

Why isn't Medsafe doing its job? Why are these poisons for sale?

Aspartame Causes Cancer in Rats and Damages DNA

ATLANTA (PRWEB) July 22, 2005

– The European Ramazzini Foundation of Oncology and Environmental Sciences Cancer Research Centre in Bologna, Italy, just released their three year study confirming the link between aspartame and lymphomas and leukaemias.

The report by Morando Soffritti “Aspartame induces lymphomas and leukemias in rats” is in the *European Journal of Oncology* for July, 2005. Dr. Soffritti and his team carefully set the conditions whereby genetically-uniform test rats were treated equally with the exception of being divided into groups given varying levels of the toxic chemical sweetener.

Rats are deemed appropriate subjects for testing aspartame on humans because both humans and rats metabolise the methyl ester in aspartame into methyl alcohol, then formaldehyde then formic acid – all deadly poisons. The carcinogenicity of substances in rats and mice is a consistent predictor of cancer risk in humans exposed to those substances.

The study commenced with 8 week old rats and continued until the last one died at 159 weeks. Their physical status and behaviour were examined three times daily and detailed records of their growth were kept. Every two weeks each of the 3,600 rats were “clinically examined for gross changes.” As each rat died, a complete autopsy was performed. Organs, tissues and bones were preserved for further study.

“In our experimental conditions, it has been demonstrated that APM [aspartame] causes a dose-related statistically significant increase in lymphomas and leukemias in fe-

males at dose levels very near those to which humans can be exposed,” the authors wrote in their conclusion. The number of

cancers that developed in rats given zero aspartame was zero.

Increases of lymphomas and leukaemias at the lowest exposure level was 62 percent and “an increase in the incidence of these types of neoplasias was also observed in males exposed to the highest dose,” as stated in the study.

Neurosurgeon Russell Blaylock, MD, one of the world’s leading authorities on aspartame neurotoxicity, extensively reviewed the Soffritti report. “This study confirmed the previous study by Dr. Trocho and co-workers (1998), which also found the formaldehyde breakdown product of aspartame to be damaging to cellular DNA and that this damage was cumulative. The type of damage was a duplicate of that associated with cancers. These two studies strongly indicate that drinking a single diet cola sweetened with aspartame every day could significantly increase one’s risk of developing a lymphoma or leukemia,” Blaylock said.

“This study should terrify mothers and all those consuming aspartame sweetened products,” he continued.

“This was a carefully done study which clearly demonstrated a statistically significant increase in several types of lymphomas and leukaemias in rats. Both of these malignancies have increased significantly in this country since the widespread use of aspartame.”

“They also found an increased incidence of malignant brain tumors, even though it was not statistically significant. This does not mean there is no association to brain tumours, since ONLY the animals exposed to

aspartame developed the tumours. With children and pregnant women drinking the largest amount of diet colas, this puts children at the greatest risk of developing one of these horrible diseases. They found that even lower doses of aspartame could cause these malignancies, yet, the higher the dose, the more cancers that were seen,” said Blaylock. “Since aspartame can increase obesity and may even cause the metabolic syndrome that affects 48 million Americans, there is no reason to ever consume this product. At the least, it should be immediately banned from all schools,” said Blaylock.

Aspartame was approved for use in dry goods in 1981 under extremely controversial circumstances. The artificial sweetener is currently found in more than 6,000 products—soft drinks, chewing gum, candy, desserts, table sweeteners, some sweetened vitamin products and pharmaceutical drugs and is responsible for more than 80 percent of consumer complaints filed with the FDA each year.

The FDA itself has published a list of 92 potentially adverse reactions to aspartame that includes weight gain, blindness, chronic headaches, insanity and death.

Soffritti *et al* conducted this study because they wanted to determine whether or not the ADI for aspartame (50 and 40 milligrams per kilogram of body weight per day in the U.S. and Europe respectively) was an appropriate limit. Their report concludes with these words: “Results of our study call for urgent re-examination of permissible exposure level of aspartame in both food and beverages, especially to protect children.”

Makers and sellers of aspartame tried to rebut this impeccable European research saying: “With billions of man-years of safe use, there is no indication of an association between aspartame and cancer in humans.”

<http://www.wnho.net/whopper.htm>

U.S. Govt Admits Vaccines May Cause Autism: Does Medsafe Care???



“The Vaccine Compensation Act has already compensated over 2,000 individuals who proved that they were harmed by vaccines, resulting in settlements of nearly two billion dollars.”

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the federal agency that oversees the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), recently conceded the first vaccine-autism case.

This case was filed in the no-fault National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program as part of the Autism Omnibus proceedings in the U.S. Federal Court of Claims.

It was one of the first three cases chosen that alleged Thimerosal (the mercury-based preservative) in some childhood vaccines significantly contributed to a child developing autism.

Clifford Shoemaker, of Shoemaker and Associates of Vienna, Virginia, is the attorney of record in the *Hanna Poling v. Secretary of HHS* (case: 02-1466V).

Experts filing on behalf of the petitioner, Hanna Poling, included pediatric neurologist, Dr. Andrew Zimmerman of Johns Hopkins University, and Maryland geneticist and epidemiologist, Dr. Mark Geier of the Genetic Centers of America.

This concession shows the dishonesty of the continual media spin coming from public health officials and others who maintain there is no evidence that Thimerosal, or any other part of any vaccine, has ever caused autism or, for that matter, has

harmed anyone in any way. The facts are that the Vaccine Compensation Act has already compensated over 2,000 individuals who proved that they were harmed by vaccines, resulting in settlements of nearly two billion dollars.

Additionally, hundreds of peer-reviewed scientific/medical articles from some of the world's best universities have long implicated Thimerosal in vaccines as a causal factor



in neurodevelopmental disorders including autism.

Furthermore, in 2003, the U.S. House of Representatives' Government Reform Committee, after a 3.5-year investigation, concluded that Thimerosal caused the autism epidemic and that the FDA and health authorities were guilty of “institutional malfeasance” in covering it up.

Evidence supporting the connection

between mercury and autism include:

- Published studies from the US and France showing that urinary porphyrins, a biomarker for body-burden of mercury, are elevated in patients diagnosed with autistic disorders (<http://www.mercury-freedrugs.org>).
- A published study by researchers at Harvard University that found twice as much mercury and oxidative stress in the brains of those with an autism diagnosis as found in the brains of those who were normal.
- A study from the US showing a significant relationship between increasing blood mercury levels and an increased risk of a diagnosis of an autistic disorder.
- Numerous papers by independent researchers showing a link between increasing mercury exposure from childhood vaccines and the risk of a child developing an autistic disorder.
- Several papers showing that adding low levels of Thimerosal to certain blood, brain, eye, immune, liver and/or muscle cells poisons their cellular mitochondrial pathways and can induce cell death.

Today, despite being banned in Europe and restricted in 7 U.S. states, Thimerosal-containing flu vaccines are still recommended for routine administration to pregnant women and infants, with little or no warning of the presence of this known poison in these and other vaccines.

www.mercury-freedrugs.org

Our "Health System" is our third leading cause of death

– NZ Ministry of Health (1998 statistics)

and *The Journal of the American Medical Association*

by Alan R. Yurko

A generation ago, people trusted their doctors blindly and implicitly. There seemed to be a personal bond with one's doctor which has eroded with the emphasis on medicine as a business, CPT codes, HMOs and iatrogeny (death by doctors), among other things. The health care market place is not kind and people have lost trust. Iatrogeny plays a large and ugly role in this.

A recent study published in *The Journal of The American Medical Association* (2000:284:94); by Barbara Starfield, MD, MPH showed that in the US, this data was found:

- 12,000 deaths/year from unnecessary surgery
- 7,000 deaths/year from medication errors in hospitals
- 20,000 deaths/year from other errors in hospitals
- 80,000 deaths/year from hospital-acquired infections
- 106,000 deaths/year from adverse effects of medications

This totals 225,000 deaths per year from iatrogenic causes, placing iatrogeny as the third leading cause of death in the US, second only to heart disease and cancer.

The scary part is that this does not include disabilities and disorders, just deaths in hospitalised patients. Iatrogeny is not exclusive to the US. *The British Medical Journal* stated on 18 March 2000 that:

"In Australia, medical error results in as many as 18,000 unnecessary deaths, and more than 50,000 patients become disabled every year."

Studies released in the last ten years show similar trends in the UK,

Canada and New Zealand. New Zealand has a large percentage ratio of adverse drug reactions comparable to the US. (It should be noted that the US and New Zealand are the only countries that allow aggressive pharmaceutical drug advertisements.)

One very interesting statistic I came across which deserves mention are deaths attributed to addictive drugs in the UK between 1990 and 1995:

Benzodiazepines - 1,810

Methadone - 676

Heroin - 291

Millions of dollars and great concern through organisations and associations are given to the deaths and disablements from myriad causes – **except iatrogeny**. Here we have two legal prescription drugs causing more deaths each, than heroin.

Drug companies spend huge fortunes for political influence, in fact lobbying for pharmaceutical interests in the first half of 2000 reached \$US 42.9 million ; and it was estimated that \$US 230 million would be spent during the election. George Bush welcomed \$US 1.7 million from drug companies just for his inauguration celebrations! (*British Medical Journal* 27 January 2001). Drug companies are a business and have always been a business. They do not give such an investment without an expected return with profits.

Perhaps this is why officials turn a blind eye to the fact that doctors and hospitals are responsible for nearly a quarter-million deaths in the US per year. Or perhaps this is why the pharma companies manufacture pesticides and chemicals that cause cancer and disease and then manufacture drugs to treat diseases they cause ... and then can cause even

more disorders to create a market for more drugs – and more profit. A very lucrative cycle. Perhaps this is why funding for disease research successfully diverts attention from the pharma cartels' own carcinogenic and deadly products. One need not look too hard for reasons why doctors' and hospitals' mistakes are the third leading cause of death in America, with no end in sight.

Many believe that Barbara Starfield's study cited earlier is just the tip of the iceberg. After all, the study only looked at hospitalised patients. What of the in-home and outpatient errors? There are more people to consider in these groups, and certainly more iatrogeny. Could it be that iatrogeny is the leading cause of death in the US? The World? As startling and unsupported as that sounds, it may very well be true. At any rate, the iatrogenic holocaust makes World War II deaths pale in comparison. Yet only patronising and minimal attempts to recognise and correct iatrogeny have been made.

Murder Or Mistake?

By making iatrogeny, through semantics, sound as if it is an infectious disease beyond the control of physicians and hospitals, we allow the medical profession and pharmaceutical cartels to distance themselves from responsibility and relieve them from the culpability of what mirrors negligent homicide.

It would be humanly impossible to eliminate genuine mistakes entirely. The problem is that, "How many times does a mistake happen until it's not just a mistake anymore, but negligence?"

This author believes that 225,000 deaths every year may be an example of when mistakes cross over to the darkness of negligence. If so, then we are witnessing the greatest holocaust to befall mankind ever.

<http://www.vaclib.org/basic/iatrogen.htm> ALSO: http://www.newciv.org/nl/newslog.php/_v145/_show_article/_a000145-000013.htm

Drugs and Medical Errors Killing 1 in 5

– Where is Medsafe?

In a recent *British Medical Journal* (BMJ), Ron Law, Executive Director of the NNFA, in New Zealand and member of the New Zealand Ministry of Health Working Group advising on medical error, offered some enlightening information on deaths by drugs and medical errors.

He notes the prevalence of deaths from medical errors and also from properly researched and prescribed medications in Australia and New Zealand. He cites the following statistics and facts:

“Official Australian government reports reveal that preventable medical error in hospitals is responsible for 11% of all deaths in Australia. (1, 2), which is about 1 of every 9 deaths. If deaths from properly researched, properly registered, properly prescribed and properly used drugs were added along with preventable deaths due to private practice it comes to a staggering 19%, which is almost 1 of every 5 deaths.”

New Zealand figures are very similar. According to Mr. Law: The equivalent of New Zealand's second largest city (Christchurch) has been killed by preventable medical error and deaths from properly researched, properly registered, properly prescribed and properly used drugs in Australasia in the past decade and its biggest city Auckland either killed or permanently maimed.

More than 5 million people have been killed by Western medical practice in the past decade (Europe, USA, Canada, Australia, and NZ) and millions more permanently maimed. Sounds like a war zone, doesn't it?

The economic impact of deaths due to preventable medical error and deaths from properly researched, properly registered, properly prescribed and properly used drugs is approximately \$1 trillion over the past decade. Mr Law notes that only 0.3% of these deaths are properly coded and classified in official statistics as being attributed to these causes.

British Medical Journal: 11/ November/ 2000; 321: 1178A (emailed response)

Study finds 98% of child drug trials lack independent safety checks – Where is Medsafe?

- Research highlights adverse effects of tests
- “Surprise” at lack of monitoring committees

Sarah Boseley, Health Editor
The Guardian, (UK)
March 19, 2008

Only a tiny minority of drug trials on children have an independent safety monitoring committee to pick up potentially dangerous side-effects, a study has revealed.

Researchers from Nottingham University found that under 2% of the 739 international drug trials published between 1996 and 2002 had such committees of independent experts who would scrutinise data and warn, if necessary, that it was not safe to carry on.

Among the 2%, six trials had to be stopped early because of toxic effects on the child patients.

“We were very surprised by the low level of trials that had independent safety monitoring committees and are urging pharmaceutical companies to include these in all future trials involving children,” said Dr Helen Sammons, associate professor of child health at Nottingham and lead author of the paper, published in the child health journal *Acta Paediatrica*.

“It is essential that appropriate drugs are developed for use in children and clinical trials need to continue. They are vital because they increase the chance of picking up adverse reactions before drugs are introduced into general clinical practice.”

The team found that children experienced adverse effects caused

by the drugs in a third of the trials – nearly 37%. In 11%, side-effects were moderate or severe and even sometimes life-threatening. Sammons stressed that the point of a trial was to find out whether the benefits of the drug outweighed any side-effects before the drug was used in the population at large.

“In the past drugs have gone into the marketplace without trials and we have picked up the side-effects later,” she said. “Until a few years

“The study found that in 7 out of 10 trials adverse events were reported.”

ago drugs were rarely tested or licenced for use in children. Doctors had no alternative but to use adult medicines, guess at the appropriate dose and hope they worked the same way in children.”

But, said Sammons, children were not small adults. “The assumption is if it's fine in adults, it will be fine in children, but the child has a different metabolism,” she said.

The study found that in seven out of 10 trials adverse events were reported.

These included bleeding, high blood pressure, seizures, psychosis, suicide and acute renal failure, but in most cases they were not thought to be caused by the drug. In 11% of the trials there were deaths, but most were also not thought to be related to the drug.

Deaths were highest in trials involving premature babies – who are often very sick. There were also deaths in trials of drugs for infectious diseases, neurology, and respiratory and kidney problems.

At last: A New Zealand magazine for people who can still think for themselves

PLEASE HELP DISTRIBUTE THIS REPORT!

● **UNCENSORED** was the first magazine in NZ to break the story of 9/11 being an inside job, and that this is the “endgame” for the US, the UK and Australia – all of which are morphing into military dictatorships.

● Each issue has a special section on “**What Your Doctor Will Never Tell You**”, presenting the evidence that mammograms, sunscreen and airport X-rays may cause cancer ... as well as alternative therapies for serious illnesses that really work.

● We published the PROOF that NASA has been lying about the existence of life on Mars. We ran European Space Agency pictures of forests, surface water and possible underground artificial structures ... We even published the real Roswell story – **with the REAL pix from 1947**.

● Each fascinating issue of **UNCENSORED** details advances being made in non-polluting and “**free energy**” technologies, putting paid to the “energy crisis” scam.

● We have also published some of the most astonishing archaeological discoveries ever made – such as the ancient Egyptian hieroglyphics found in Australia (!) – as well as a 25,000 year old carved tree stump found in Auckland.

SUBSCRIBE NOW AND GET 3 DVDS OF YOUR CHOICE – FREE!!

See: www.shockingdvds.com for details



In this dark era of increasing censorship and control **UNCENSORED** is still totally committed to freedom of thought, inquiry, and a free press. Unlike our friends in mainstream media, we really present the “other side of the story”.

See for yourself what you’ve been missing. Or why not send it to a friend or library as a great gift idea!

PLEASE RUSH ME () COPIES OF THIS SPECIAL REPORT FOR ONLY \$.50 EACH (MINIMUM ORDER 20 COPIES).
 () I enclose full payment of \$ _____ () Charge to my credit card (details below)
PLEASE POST ORDERS FOR THIS SPECIAL REPORT TO UNCENSORED, PO BOX 44-128, PT CHEVALIER, AK

Please start my subscription to Uncensored Magazine with [] **Current issue (#11)** / [] **Next issue (#12)** for only NZ\$39.60/year (4 issues)
 NOTE: Australian orders add NZ\$25 for postage / US orders add NZ\$40 for postage

SINGLE ISSUE ORDERS: I would like to order the following back issues @ NZ\$10 each (P&H included in NZ). Overseas add \$5 ea for p/h.
 [] #1 (CD-ROM Only) [] #2 [] #3 [] #4 [] #5 [] #6 [] #7 [] #8 [] #9 [] #10 [] #11 (CURRENT ISSUE)

UNCENSORED LIBRARY: [] I would like to purchase ALL 10 back issues for only NZ\$60.00 (Add \$25 for orders outside NZ)

PAYMENT: [] I enclose full payment of \$ _____ (Please make cheque payable to Uncensored Publications Ltd);
 [] Please charge my [] VISA [] MASTERCARD for the full payment of items selected above

Name on Card: _____ Card Number: _____ - _____ - _____ Exp: _____

Billing Address: _____ City / Suburb: _____ Post Code: _____ Country: _____

SHIPPING INFORMATION: [] Same as billing information [] This is a gift. Please inform the recipient.

[] Name _____ Address: _____

City _____ Post Code _____ Country _____

Clip and mail to:
UNCENSORED
 PO Box 104-220
 Lincoln North
 Auckland 0654
 New Zealand